

Care Giving Behaviours as Perceived by **Patients and Nurses: A Comparative International Study** 

# **Instruments of the study**

Georgios Efstathiou RN, BSc, MSc, PhD(c) Research Fellow



Developed by Zane Wolf (1981) based on Jean Watson's theory and literature
Use to nurses and patients
Several versions



A Likert scale
First version 4 point scale – CBI 43

1 = strongly dissagre ... 4 = strongly agree

Test tetest reliability 0.96 in the nurse sample
Internal consistency 0.96 in the combined nurse-patient sample

Content validity by a panel of experts



### CBI 43 combined nurse and patients responses

Factor	Eigenvalue	% explained variance
1	15.7092	36.5%
2	2,5775	6%
3	1.94179	4.5%
4	1.8051	4.2%
5	1,3730	3.2%
6	1.0268	2.4%



#### CBI 42 – Factor items and Alpha Coefficients

Factor	Items	alpha
Respectful deference to others	12	0.8906
Human presence	12	0.9221
Positive connectness	9	0.8452
Knowledge & skill	5	0.8157
Other's experience	4	0.8191

- Revision of scale (1994) CBI 42
  - $1 = never \dots 6 = always$



 Wolf Z (1998) Retesting CBI 42 Cronbach's alpha 0.98

 Wolf Z (2003) Retesting CBI 42 Cronbach's alpha 0.95

Larabee et al (2004)
 Cronbach's alpha 0.98



#### Wu et al $2006 \rightarrow CBI 24$

- internal consistency 0.96 patients, 0.96 nurses
- convergent validity through relationship with patient satisfaction score (r=0.62)
- construct validity through correlations with patient characteristics (age, satisfaction with life, pain level)
- similar statistical conclusions as CBI 42
- good test-retest reliability (0.88 patients, 0.82 nurses)

- covers 4 of the five dimensions assessed

by CBI 42



### CBI 24 – Factor Internal Consistency

Factor	Patients	Nurses
Human presence	0.92	0.92
Professional knowledge	0.87	0.83
Respectful deference	0.91	0.92
Connectness	0.82	0.87



- Short length of time (12.30 minutes CBI 42)
- Consistent understandable language
- Understandable instructions
- Easy to analyze results
- Can be used both to patients and nurses



- Wu et al (2006) Caring Behaviors Inventory A reduction of the 42-item inventory
  - **Green A** (2004) Caring Behaviors as Perceived by Nurse Practitioners
  - **Coulombe at al** (2002) Caring Bahaviors Invetory: Analysis and Responses by Hospitalized Surgical patients



**Brunton & Beaman** (2000) Nurse Practioners' Perceptions of Their Caring Behaviors

**Swan B** (1998) Postopererative Nursing Care Contributions to Symptom Distress and Functional Status After Ambulatory Surgery

• Wolf et al (1998) Relationship between nurse caring and patient satisfaction



- A 38 item bipartite questionnaire
- Developed by Pr. Suhonen et al (2000)
- Identify nurses' and patients' perceptions on individualized care
- ICS A → explores the practice of individualised care during nursing interventions (19 items)
- ICS B → examines the perception of individuality in care (19 items)



Validity established through:

- Face validity
- Content validity
- Concept validity



ICS A	0.94	
ICS B	0.93	
Alpha coefficients for ICS A & ICS B		
Qubacala	ICS A	ICS B
Subscale	ICOA	ICD D
	0.89	0.89
Clinical situation Personal life situation		

(Suhonen et al, 2005)



Use of a modified version – 34 items

- 3 subscales within the questionnaire
- individual patient characteristics in the clinical situation caused by the hospitalisation (7 items)
- the patient's personal life situation before the hospitalization (4 items)
- decisional control over own care (6 items)

#### Likert scale

1 = fully dissagre ... 5 = fully agree



### **Patient Satisfaction Scale**

Developed by Hessok Suzie Kim (1988)

Modified by Pr. Helena Kilbi (2003)

• 4 point Likert questionnaire

 $1 = \text{very dissatisfied} \dots 4 = \text{very satisfied}$ 

11 item instrument